

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/684,493	10/15/2003	Osamu Takagi	016887-1099	3503		
22428	7590 09/11/		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ID LARDNER LL	LEUNG, F	LEUNG, PHILIP H			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007	3742	-			
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					•		
		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		1	0/684,493	TAKAGI ET AL.			
O.	ffice Action Summary	E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			hilip H. Leung	3742			
<i>The</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appear	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period to replay record to	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N If time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this come for reply is specified above, the maximum si ly within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN). In no event, however, may pply and will expire SIX (6) Mu use the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 June	2006				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			tion is non-final.				
3) Since	, -						
close	d in accordance with the pract	ice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>35-57</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
	f the above claim(s) is/a	* *	from consideration.				
5)∐ Claim	n(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>35-57</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐ Claim	n(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim	n(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The si	pecification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.					
	rawing(s) filed on is/are		ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	cant may not request that any obje			•			
	cement drawing sheet(s) including			, ,	FR 1.121(d).		
11) ☐ The o	ath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	ГО-152.		
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
	wledgment is made of a claim	for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∐ All	/ /				:		
1.	Certified copies of the priority						
_	Certified copies of the priority			··· ——	_		
3.	•	•		n received in this National	Stage		
* O a a Aba	application from the Internation	•	` ''				
See the	e attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	ne certified copies no	ot received.			
Attachment(s)	•						
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)			
	aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		
	Mail Date	. 10/06/00/	6) Other: _		- · ··· /		

Application/Control Number: 10/684,493 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the supplemental amendment filed 6-14-2006, the application is being

withdrawn from issue and the prosecution is reopened.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The limitation "each of the grooves being formed on a surface of the core and runs along a

longitudinal direction of the core, the two grooves being placed so as to be opposed to each other

in a direction perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the core" at lines 6-9 of claim 35

appears to be contradicting as the limitation requires the grooves be along a longitudinal

direction of the core and also perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the core".

Clarification and correction are required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,493

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claims 42, 43, 45, 50, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sano et al (US 5,970,299) (newly cited).

Page 3

Sano shows a fixing device 100 comprising: a heating roller 1 made of a magnetic metal; a coil 3, 3a comprising a plurality of wires; an exciting circuit (not shown but inherently required) that applies a high-frequency current to the coil; and a core 4 which is arranged inside the heating roller, the core having at least one groove 4a, 12a, 13a, 14a, 14b wherein the groove extends between end portions of the core so as to connect the end portions in a longitudinal direction of the core, and a part of the coil is buried in the groove (see various embodiments as shown in Figures 1 and 9-17; col. 4, line 58 – col. 9, line 67 and col. 11, lines 22-31). In regard to claims 43 and 51, the magnetic core portions 4b and 4c are the claimed guide projections. In regard to claims 45 and 53, the core portions 4b and 4c are also the claimed neck projections.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S:C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 35, 41, 44, 46-49, 52 and 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over by Sano et al (US 5,970,299), in view of Morigami (US 5,768,673).

As set forth above, Sano shows every feature as claimed except for the details of the drive mechanism of the heating roller. Morigami shows that it is well known in the art of induction fusing rollers to use sliding bearings and a drive gear in order to rotate the induction

Art Unit: 3742

heating roller 10 (see Figure 1 and col. 4, lines 36-45). It would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Sano to provide sliding bearings at both ends of the roller and use a drive gear to rotate the heating roller for better rotation control of the roller, in view of the teaching of Morigami. In regard to claims 35 and 41, as far as the claims are understood, the exact location and structure of the grooves would have been a matter of engineering expediencies depending on the overall structure of the roller, the core and the coil.

8. Claims 36-40 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H. Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571)-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Philip H Leung **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3742